TTML Profiles

G. Adams

TPAC2013

TTML1 Profiles (1)

- Expression of authorial intent about what a processor must support in order to process a document.
- If processor doesn't satisfy profile requirements, then must abort processing unless overridden.
- May be interpreted as a definition of a processor profile.

TTML1 Profiles (2)

- A profile definition consists of an ordered set of profile specifications.
- A profile specification is either a feature specification or an extension specification.
- Each feature and extension is referred to using a designation.
- Each feature and extension is assigned a value (explicitly or by default): used, required, optional.

TTML1 Profiles (3)

- Feature designations and their associated semantics are defined only by the TTWG.
- Extension designations and their associated semantics are defined by either TTWG or 3rd parties.

TTML1 Profiles (4)

- Profile definition may be inline (embedded within) or external to document.
- When external, referred to as Profile Definition Document.
- Multiple profile definitions may be combined to form effective profile.
- Single AND profile combination method.

TTML1 Profiles (5)

- Two mechanisms for referring to multiple profiles:
 - Employ use attribute on ttp:profile element to include specifications from a baseline profile.
 - Use of multiple ttp:profile elements within document.
- Both mechanism may be used together.

TTML1 Profiles (6)

- When combining specifications from a referenced baseline profile and an inline profile definition, a REPLACE combination method is used.
- The REPLACE combination method says that a following specification replaces a prior specification when both specifications refer to the same feature or extension.

What's Missing?

- How to associated a profile with a profile designator?
- How to define requirements or options on content (documents) as opposed to processors? i.e., how to define a **content** profile?
- How does instance document refer to a content profile?
- What processing requirements (mandatory or optional) are implied by content profiles?
- How to combine profiles using methods other than AND?
- How to define a combination profile from multiple profiles?
- How to combine profile specifications using methods other than REPLACE?
- How to relate new features or extensions with existing features or extensions, particularly as a restriction (subset)?

Profile Designator Proposal

- Add @designator on ttp:profile:
 - Value is xsd:anyURI, where URI serves as designator for said profile.

Content Profile Proposal (1)

- Add @type on ttp:profile:
 - Value set: {processor, content}
 - Default value processor.
- Modify @value on ttp:{feature,extension}:
 - Add prohibited value.
 - Deprecate use value (not used in practice).
 - Refine semantics of required and optional according to whether used in processor or content profile.

Content Profile Proposal (2)

- Add @ttp:contentProfile on tt:root, to refer to single content profile.
- If author desires to declare adherence to multiple content profiles, then use multiple ttp:profile elements with @type content.
- Add #contentProfile feature.

Content Profile Proposal (3)

- Add @ttp:validation on tt:root:
 - Value set: {required, optional}
 - Default value optional.
 - If required and validation not supported by processor, then must abort unless overridden.
- Add @ttp:validationAction on tt:root:
 - Value set: {abort, warn, ignore}
 - Default value abort.
 - If abort and validation fails, then must abort unless overridden.
 - If warn and validation fails, then warn unless overridden.
- Add #validation feature.

Profile Combination Proposal

- Add @ttp:profileCombination to tt:root:
 - Value set: {replace, or, and}
 - Default value or.

Logic Table – Processor Profile

| first | second | replace | or | and |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| optional | optional | optional | optional | optional |
| optional | required | required | required | optional |
| required | optional | optional | required | optional |
| required | required | required | required | required |

Logic Table – Content Profile

| first | second | replace | or | and |
|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| optional | optional | optional | optional | optional |
| optional | required | required | required | optional |
| required | optional | optional | required | optional |
| required | required | required | required | required |
| optional | prohibited | prohibited | prohibited | optional |
| prohibited | optional | optional | prohibited | optional |
| required | prohibited | prohibited | prohibited | error |
| prohibited | required | required | prohibited | error |
| prohibited | prohibited | prohibited | prohibited | prohibited |

Combination Profile Proposal

- Change content model for ttp:profile to: ttp:{feature,extension}*|ttp:profile*.
- Add @ttp:profileCombination to ttp:profile:
 - Value set: {replace, or, and}
 - Default value or.

Profile Specification Combination Proposal

- Add @combine to ttp:profile:
 - Value set: {replace, or, and}
 - Default value replace.

Feature Relation Proposal

- Add @restricts to ttp:{feature, extension}:
 - Value is xsd:anyURI, where URI refers to a defined feature or extension designation.
 - A ttp:feature may only refer to a feature designation.
 - A ttp:extension may refer to a feature designation or an extension designation.
- Is there a use case for @extends using same format? e.g., to track derivation in new feature extension?